## Class 11



## Learning Objectives

- Algorithms
- Decision trees
- Naïve Bayesian
- Artificial Neural Networks
- Evaluation methods
- Precision


## Goals and Requirements

- Goals:
- To produce an accurate classifier/regression function
- To understand the structure of the problem
- Requirements on the model:
- High accuracy
- Understandable by humans, interpretable
- Fast construction for very large training databases



## Another Example of Decision Tree



## Apply Model to Test Data

Test Data


| Refund | Marital <br> Status |  | Taxable <br> Income Cheat |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |

## General algorithm

1 Let $D_{t}$ be the set of training records that reach a node t
${ }_{1}$ General Procedure:

- If $D_{t}$ contains records that belong the same class $y_{t}$, then $t$ is a leaf node labeled as $y_{t}$
- If $D_{t}$ is an empty set, then $t$ is a leaf node labeled by the default class, $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{d}}$
- If $D_{t}$ contains records that

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 95 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 75 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | Yes | belong to more than one class, use an attribute test to split the data into smaller subsets. Recursively apply the procedure to each subset.



## Stopping Criteria for Tree Induction <br> ${ }_{1}$ Stop expanding a node when all the records belong to the same class

Stop expanding a node when all the records have similar attribute values
${ }_{1}$ Early termination (to be discussed later)

## Splitting Based on Nominal Attributes

1 Multi-way split: Use as many partitions as distinct values.


1 Binary split: Divides values into two subsets.
Need to find optimal partitioning.


Luxury\}
OR


## Splitting Based on Continuous Attributes


(i) Binary split

(ii) Multi-way split

## How to determine the Best

 SplitBefore Splitting: 10 records of class 0 ,
10 records of class 1


Which test condition is the best?

## How to determine the Best Split

Greedy approach:

- Nodes with homogeneous class distribution are preferred
Need a measure of node impurity:

C0: 5

```
C1:5
```

Non-homogeneous,
High degree of impurity

C0: 9


Homogeneous, Low degree of impurity

## Measure of Node Impurity

${ }_{1}$ Entropy at a given node t:

$$
\text { Entropy }(t)=-\sum_{j} p(j \mid t) \log p(j \mid t)
$$

(NOTE: $p(j \mid t)$ is the relative frequency of class j at node t ).

- Measures homogeneity of a node.

Maximum $\left(\log n_{c}\right)$ when records are equally distributed among all classes implying least information
Minimum ( 0.0 ) when all records belong to one class, implying most information

## Entropy function



## Example

$$
\operatorname{Entropy}(t)=-\sum_{j} p(j \mid t) \log _{2} p(j \mid t)
$$

| C 1 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C 2 | $\mathbf{6}$ |

$P(C 1)=0 / 6=0 \quad P(C 2)=6 / 6=1$
Entropy $=-0 \log 0-1 \log 1=-0-0=0$

| C 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C 2 | $\mathbf{5}$ |

$P(C 1)=1 / 6 \quad P(C 2)=5 / 6$
Entropy $=-(1 / 6) \log _{2}(1 / 6)-(5 / 6) \log _{2}(1 / 6)=0.65$

| C 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C 2 | $\mathbf{4}$ |

$P(C 1)=2 / 6 \quad P(C 2)=4 / 6$
Entropy $=-(2 / 6) \log _{2}(2 / 6)-(4 / 6) \log _{2}(4 / 6)=0.92$

## Information Gain

Information Gain:

$$
\operatorname{GAIN}_{\text {split }}=\operatorname{Entropy}(p)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_{i}}{n} \operatorname{Entropy}(i)\right)
$$

Parent Node, p is split into k partitions; $n_{i}$ is number of records in partition $i$

- Measures Reduction in Entropy achieved because of the split. Choose the split that achieves most reduction (maximizes GAIN)
- Used in ID3 and C4.5
- Disadvantage: Tends to prefer splits that result in large number of partitions, each being small but pure.


## Expressiveness

Decision tree provides expressive representation for learning discrete-valued function

- But they do not generalize well to certain types of Boolean functions

Example: parity function:

- Class $=1$ if there is an even number of Boolean attributes with truth value $=$ True
- Class $=0$ if there is an odd number of Boolean attributes with truth value $=$ True
For accurate modeling, must have a complete tree
Not expressive enough for modeling continuous variables
- Particularly when test condition involves only a single attribute at-a-time


## Decision Boundary




- Border line between two neighboring regions of different classes is known as decision boundary
- Decision boundary is parallel to axes because test condition involves a single attribute at-a-time


## Learning Curve

1 Learning curve shows how accuracy changes with varying sample size
Requires a sampling schedule for creating learning curve:

Arithmetic sampling (Langley, et al)
Geometric sampling (Provost et al)

Effect of small sample size:
Bias in the estimate Variance of estimate

## Decision Trees: Summary

- Many application of decision trees
- There are many algorithms available for:
- Split selection
- Pruning
- Handling Missing Values
- Data Access
- Decision tree construction still active research area (after 20+ years!)
- Challenges: Performance, scalability, evolving datasets, new applications


## Bayes Classifier

A probabilistic framework for solving classification problems
Conditional Probability:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(C \mid A)=\frac{P(A, C)}{P(A)} \\
& P(A \mid C)=\frac{P(A, C)}{P(C)}
\end{aligned}
$$

1 Bayes theorem:

$$
P(C \mid A)=\frac{P(A \mid C) P(C)}{P(A)}
$$

## Example of Bayes Theorem

- Given:
- A doctor knows that meningitis causes stiff neck $50 \%$ of the time
- Prior probability of any patient having meningitis is $1 / 50,000$
- Prior probability of any patient having stiff neck is $1 / 20$
- If a patient has stiff neck, what's the probability he/she has meningitis?
$P(M \mid S)=\frac{P(S \mid M) P(M)}{P(S)}=\frac{0.5 \times 1 / 50000}{1 / 20}=0.0002$


## Bayesian Classifiers

- Consider each attribute and class label as random variables
- Given a record with attributes $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
- Goal is to predict class C
- Specifically, we want to find the value of $C$ that maximizes $P\left(C \mid A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$
- Can we estimate $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C} \mid \mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ directly from data?


## Bayesian Classifiers

- Approach:
- compute the posterior probability $P\left(C \mid A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ for all values of $C$ using the Bayes theorem

$$
P\left(C \mid A_{1} A_{2} \mathrm{~K} A_{n}\right)=\frac{P\left(A_{1} A_{2} \mathrm{~K} A_{n} \mid C\right) P(C)}{P\left(A_{1} A_{2} \mathrm{~K} A_{n}\right)}
$$

- Choose value of $C$ that maximizes

$$
P\left(C \mid A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)
$$

- Equivalent to choosing value of $C$ that maximizes

$$
P\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, \breve{A}_{n} \mid C\right) P(C)
$$

- How to estimate $P\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n} \mid C\right)$ ?


## Naïve Bayes Classifier

- Assume independence among attributes $A_{i}$ when class is given:
$-P\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n} \mid C\right)=P\left(A_{1} \mid C_{j}\right) P\left(A_{2} \mid C_{j}\right) \ldots P\left(A_{n} \mid C_{j}\right)$
- Can estimate $P\left(A_{i} \mid C_{j}\right)$ for all $A_{i}$ and $C_{j}$.
- New point is classified to $C_{j}$ if $P\left(C_{j}\right) \cap P\left(A_{i} \mid C_{j}\right)$ is maximal.


## How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 95 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 75 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | Yes |

- e.g., $P(N o)=7 / 10$,
$P($ Yes $)=3 / 10$
For discrete attributes:
$P\left(A_{i} \mid C_{k}\right)=\left|A_{i k}\right| / N_{c_{k}}$
- where $\left|\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ik}}\right|$ is number of instances having attribute $A_{i}$ and belongs to class $C_{k}$
- Examples:
$P($ Status $=$ Married $\mid$ No $)=4 / 7$
$P($ Refund $=$ Yes $\mid$ Yes $)=0$


## Example of Naive Bayes Classifier

| Name | Give Birth | Can Fly | Live in Water | Have Legs | Class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| human | yes | no | no | yes | mammals |
| python | no | no | no | no | non-mammals |
| salmon | no | no | yes | no | non-mammals |
| whale | yes | no | yes | no | mammals |
| frog | no | no | sometimes | yes | non-mammals |
| komodo | no | no | no | yes | non-mammals |
| bat | yes | yes | no | yes | mammals |
| pigeon | no | yes | no | yes | non-mammals |
| cat | yes | no | no | yes | mammals |
| leopard shark | yes | no | yes | no | non-mammals |
| turtle | no | no | sometimes | yes | non-mammals |
| penguin | no | no | sometimes | yes | non-mammals |
| porcupine | yes | no | no | yes | mammals |
| eel | no | no | yes | no | non-mammals |
| salamander | no | no | sometimes | yes | non-mammals |
| gila monster | no | no | no | yes | non-mammals |
| platypus | no | no | no | yes | mammals |
| owl | no | yes | no | yes | non-mammals |
| dolphin | yes | no | yes | no | mammals |
| eagle | no | yes | no | yes | non-mammals |

A: attributes
M: mammals
N : non-mammals
$P(A \mid M)=\frac{6}{7} \times \frac{6}{7} \times \frac{2}{7} \times \frac{2}{7}=0.06$
$P(A \mid N)=\frac{1}{13} \times \frac{10}{13} \times \frac{3}{13} \times \frac{4}{13}=0.0042$
$P(A \mid M) P(M)=0.06 \times \frac{7}{20}=0.021$
$P(A \mid N) P(N)=0.004 \times \frac{13}{20}=0.0027$

| Give Birth <br> yes | Can Fly | Live in Water <br> no | Have Legs <br> no | ? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$P(A \mid M) P(M)>$
$P(A \mid N) P(N)$
=> Mammals

## Naive Bayes Classifier

1 If one of the conditional probability is zero, then the entire expression becomes zero
Probability estimation:
Original : $P\left(A_{i} \mid C\right)=\frac{N_{i c}}{N_{c}}$
c: number of classes
Laplace : $P\left(A_{i} \mid C\right)=\frac{N_{i c}+1}{N_{c}+c}$
p: prior probability
m: parameter
m- estimate : $P\left(A_{i} \mid C\right)=\frac{N_{i c}+m p}{N_{c}+m}$

## Naïve Bayes (Summary)

- Robust to isolated noise points
- Handle missing values by ignoring the instance during probability estimate calculations
- Robust to irrelevant attributes
- Independence assumption may not hold for some attributes
- Use other techniques such as Bayesian Belief Networks (BBN)
Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Output Y is 1 if at least two of the three inputs are equal to 1.

## Artificial Neural Networks

 (ANN)| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Input


$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=I\left(0.3 X_{1}+0.3 X_{2}+0.3 X_{3}-0.4>0\right) \\
& \text { where } I(z)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } z \text { is true } \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

- Model is an assembly of inter-connected nodes and weighted links
- Output node sums up each of its input value according to the weights of its links

Input
nodes $\because=$


Perceptron Model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=I\left(\sum_{i} w_{i} X_{i}-t\right) \\
& Y=\operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{i} w_{i} X_{i}-t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example of perceptron

| $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |

(a) Data set.

(b) Perceptron.

Figure 5.14. Modeling a boolean function using a perceptron.

## Example of perceptron



Figure 5.15. Perceptron decision boundary for the data given in Figure 5.14.

## Example of perceptron

Figure 5.16. XOR classification problem. No linear hyperplane can separate the two classes.


## Example of multi-layered ANN


(a) Decision boundary

(b) Neural network topology.

Figure 5.19. A two-layer, feed-forward neural network for the XOR problem.

## Algorithm for learning ANN

${ }_{1}$ Initialize the weights $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$

Adjust the weights in such a way that the output of ANN is consistent with class labels of training examples

- Objective function: $E=\sum_{i}\left[Y_{i}-f\left(w_{i}, X_{i}\right)\right]^{2}$
- Find the weights $w_{i}$ 's that minimize the above objective function
u e.g., backpropagation algorithm


## Neural Networks: Summary

- Pros
- Accurate
- Wide range of applications
- Cons
- Difficult interpretation
- Tends to 'overfit' the data
- Extensive amount of training time
- A lot of data preparation


## Collective comparison

|  | Train <br> time | Run <br> Time | Noise <br> Toler <br> ance | Can Use <br> Prior <br> Know- <br> ledge | Accuracy <br> on Customer <br> Modelling | Under- <br> standable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Decision <br> Trees | fast | fast | poor | no | medium | medium |
| Bayesian | slow | fast | good | yes | good | good |
| Neural <br> Networks | slow | fast | good | no | good | poor |

## Evaluation

| Tid | Attrib1 | Attrib2 | Attrib3 | Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes | Large | 125K | No |
| 2 | No | Medium | 100k | No |
| 3 | No | Small | 70k | No |
| 4 | Yes | Medium | 120K | No |
| 5 | No | Large | 95K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Medium | 60K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Large | 220k | No |
| 8 | No | Small | 85K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Medium | 75K | No |
| 10 | No | Small | 90 K | Yes |



| Tid | Attrib1 | Attrib2 | Attrib3 | Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | No | Small | 55K | ? |
| 12 | Yes | Medium | 80K | ? |
| 13 | Yes | Large | 110K | ? |
| 14 | No | Small | 95K | ? |
| 15 | No | Large | 67K | ? |

## Test Sample Estimate

- Divide $D$ into $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$
- Use $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ to construct the classifier d
- Then use resubstitution estimate $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ to calculate the estimated misclassification error of d
- Unbiased and efficient, but removes $D_{2}$ from training dataset $D$


## Cross-Validation

-Break up data into subsets of the same size

-Hold aside one subsets for testing and use the rest for training


## Metrics for Performance Evaluation

${ }_{1}$ Focus on the predictive capability of a model

- Rather than how fast it takes to classify or build models, scalability, etc.
Confusion Matrix:

|  | PREDICTED CLASS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Class=Yes | Class=No |
| ACTUAL |  |  |  |
| CLASS | Class=Yes | a | b |
|  | Class=No | $c$ | $d$ |

a: TP (true positive)
b: FN (false negative)
c: FP (false positive)
d: TN (true negative)

## Metrics for Performance Evaluation...

|  | PREDICTED CLASS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL <br> CLASS |  | Class=Yes | Class=No |
|  | Class=Yes | $a$ <br> (TP) | b <br> (FN) |
|  | Class=No | $c$ <br> (FP) | $d$ <br> (TN) |

Most widely-used metric:
Accuracy $=\frac{a+d}{a+b+c+d}=\frac{T P+T N}{T P+T N+F P+F N}$

## Limitation of Accuracy

Consider a 2-class problem

- Number of Class 0 examples $=9990$
- Number of Class 1 examples $=10$

If model predicts everything to be class 0 , accuracy is $9990 / 10000=99.9 \%$

- Accuracy is misleading because model does not detect any class 1 example


## Cost Matrix

|  | PREDICTED CLASS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| ACTUAL <br> CLASS | C(ilj) | Class=Yes | Class=No |
|  | Class=Yes | C(Yes\|Yes) | C(No\|Yes) |
|  | C(Yes\|No) | C(No\|No) |  |

C(ijj): Cost of misclassifying class j example as class i

## Example

| Cost <br> Matrix | PREDICTED CLASS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{i} \mathrm{j})$ | + | - |
| ACTUAL | $\boldsymbol{+}$ | -1 | 100 |
| CLASS | - | 1 | 0 |


| Model <br> $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | PREDICTED CLASS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL <br> CLASS | $\boldsymbol{+}$ | $\boldsymbol{+}$ | $\boldsymbol{-}$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{-}$ | 60 | 250 |

Accuracy $=80 \%$
Cost $=3910$

| Model <br> $M_{2}$ | PREDICTED CLASS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL |  | + | - |
|  | $\boldsymbol{+}$ | 250 | 45 |
|  | - | 5 | 200 |

Accuracy $=90 \%$
Cost $=4255$

## Underfitting and Overfitting



Underfitting: when model is too simple, both training and test errors are large

## How to Address

Overfitting...
I Post-pruning

- Grow decision tree to its entirety
- Trim the nodes of the decision tree in a bottom-up fashion
- If generalization error improves after trimming, replace sub-tree by a leaf node.
- Class label of leaf node is determined from majority class of instances in the sub-tree
- Can use MDL for post-pruning

