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## Different Approaches to SLAM:

- Kalman filters
- Particle filters / Monte Carlo methods
- Scan matching of range data
- Set-membership techniques
- Bundle adjustment
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## Types of Cameras

Camera: Mapping from 3D world to 2D image.
finite camera:

- finite camera center
infinite camera:
- camera center at infinity
- generalization of parallel projection


## Pinhole Camera




$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\binom{f x / z}{f y / z}
$$

## Pinhole Camera / Homogeneous Coordinates

inhomogeneous coordinates:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\binom{f x / z}{f y / z}
$$

homogeneous coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right) & \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{c}
f x \\
f y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
f & & & 0 \\
& f & & 0 \\
& & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right) \\
P & =\operatorname{diag}(f, f, 1)[I \mid 0]
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Pinhole Camera / Principal Point Offset

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right) & \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{c}
f x / z+p_{x} \\
f x / z+p_{y} \\
\\
\hline
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f x+z p_{x} \\
f y+z p_{y} \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
f & & p_{x} \\
& 0 \\
& f & p_{y} \\
0 \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

K is called camera calibration matrix.

## Pinhole Camera / Camera Rotation and Translation

$c^{\prime}$ : coordinates of camera center in world coordinates
$R$ : rotation of world coordinate frame to camera coordinate frame (around $c^{\prime}$ )

$$
p=R\left(p^{\prime}-c^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right) & \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
R & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{c^{\prime}} \\
y_{c^{\prime}} \\
z_{c^{\prime}} \\
1
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R & -R c^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right) \\
P & =K R\left[I \mid-c^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

without explicit camera center:

$$
P=K[R \mid t], \quad t:=-R c^{\prime}
$$
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## CCD Cameras

CCD camera:

- pixels may be no square - different width $\alpha_{x}$ and height $\alpha_{y}$

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{x} & & x_{0} \\
& \alpha_{y} & y_{0} \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- finite projective camera:

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{x} & s & x_{0} \\
& \alpha_{y} & y_{0} \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- s skew
- usually $s=0$, but rare cases (e.g., photo from photo)


## Finite Projective Camera

- skew s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{x} & s & x_{0} \\
& \alpha_{y} & y_{0} \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
P & =K[R \mid t]
\end{aligned}
$$

- usually $s=0$, but in rare cases (e.g., photo from photo)
- left $3 \times 3$ matrix is non-singular $\left(\operatorname{det} P_{1: 3,1: 3} \neq 0\right)$
- 11 parameters:
- 5 for $K: \alpha_{x}, \alpha_{y}, x_{0}, y_{0}, s$
- 3 for $R$
- 3 for $t$
- any $3 \times 4$ matrix $P$ with $\operatorname{det} P_{1: 3,1: 3} \neq 0$ is such a finite projective camera
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## Two Views: Epipolar Geometry

- two 2D views on a 3D scene
- 3D coordinates $X$ in the 3D scene
- 2D coordinates $x$ in the first view

$$
x=P X
$$

- 2 D coordinates $x^{\prime}$ in the second view

$$
x^{\prime}=P^{\prime} X
$$

- epipolar geometry: describe relation between the two views
- fundamental matrix $F$ :

$$
x^{\prime T} F x=0 \Longleftrightarrow \exists X: x=P X, x^{\prime}=P^{\prime} X
$$
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## Epipolar Geometry


a

b
baseline: epipole:
line joining the two camera centers image of the camera center of the other view (intersection of baseline and image plane)
epipolar planes: planes containing the baseline epipolar lines: lines in the image plane through the [elyrapote 240]

## Epipolar Geometry / Example
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## Fundamental Matrix

- two views can be described by a map

$$
F: x \mapsto \ell^{\prime}
$$

that maps

- points $x$ in the first view to
- the epipolar line $\ell^{\prime}$ of their possible correspondences in the second view.


## Fundamental Matrix (2/2)

- construct $\ell$ :

1. possible 3D source points of $x=P X$ :

$$
X=P^{+} x+\lambda C, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad(\text { as } P C=0)
$$

2. their 2 D images in second view:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& x^{\prime}=P^{\prime}\left(P^{+} x+\lambda C\right)=P^{\prime} P^{+} x+\lambda P^{\prime} C \\
\text { esp. } & x^{\prime}:=P^{\prime} P^{+} x, \quad \text { for } \lambda:=0 \\
& e^{\prime}=P^{\prime} C, \quad \text { for } \lambda:=\infty \text { epipole of second view }
\end{array}
$$

3. $\ell^{\prime}$ is the line through $x^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime}$ :

$$
F(X)=e^{\prime} \times x^{\prime}=e^{\prime} \times P^{\prime} P^{+} x
$$

- $F$ is linear: fundamental matrix $F=\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times} P^{\prime} P^{+}$

Note: $P^{+}$pseudoinverse, $C$ camera center 1st view, $[a]_{\times}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -a_{3} & a_{2} \\ a_{3} & 0 & -a_{1} \\ \text { Lars Schmidt-Thieme, Information Systems and Machine Learning Lab (ISMLL), }\end{array}\right)$.

## From Two Cameras to the Fundamental Matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =K[I \mid 0] \\
P^{\prime} & =K^{\prime}[R \mid t] \\
\rightsquigarrow \quad P^{+} & =\binom{K^{-1}}{0^{T}}, \quad C=\binom{0}{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

1. general case:

$$
F=\left[P^{\prime} C\right]_{\times} P^{\prime} P^{+}=\left[K^{\prime} t\right]_{\times} K^{\prime} R K^{-1}=\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times} K^{\prime} R K^{-1}
$$

2. pure translation $\left(R=I, K^{\prime}=K\right)$ :

$$
F=\left[K^{\prime} t\right]_{\times} K^{\prime} R K^{-1}=[K t]_{\times}=\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times}
$$

3. pure translation parallel to $x$-axis $\left(e^{\prime}=(1,0,0)^{T}\right)$ :

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## From the Fundamental Matrix to Two Cameras

- The fundamental matrix does determine two cameras only up to a 3D projectivity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{P}=P H, \quad \tilde{P}^{\prime}=P^{\prime} H, \quad \tilde{C}=H^{-1} C \\
\rightsquigarrow & \tilde{P}^{+}=H^{-1} P^{+} \\
\tilde{F}= & {\left[\tilde{P}^{\prime} \tilde{C}\right]_{\times} \tilde{P}^{\prime} \tilde{P}^{+} } \\
& =\left[P^{\prime} H H^{-1} C\right]_{\times} P^{\prime} H H^{-1} P^{+}=\left[P^{\prime} C\right]_{\times} P^{\prime} P^{+}=F
\end{aligned}
$$

- Cameras can be chosen as

$$
\begin{gathered}
P=[I \mid 0], \quad P^{\prime}=\left[\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times} F \mid e^{\prime}\right] \\
\rightsquigarrow F\left(P, P^{\prime}\right)=\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times} K^{\prime} R K^{-1}=\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times}\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times} F \propto F
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Fundamental Matrix / Properties

- $F$ maps points $x$ of the 1 st view to the epipolar line $\ell^{\prime}:=F_{x}$ of their possibly corresponding points in the 2 nd view.
- For corresponding points $x, x^{\prime}$ :

$$
x^{\prime T} F x=0
$$

- $e^{\prime}$ is the left nullvector of $F: e^{\prime T} F=0$ (as $e^{\prime}$ is on all lines $F x$ ) $e$ is the right nullvector of $F: F e=0$
- $F$ has 7 degrees of freedom.
- 8 ratios of a $3 \times 3$ matrix
- -1 for $\operatorname{det} F=0$


## Computing the Fundamental Matrix

## Different methods:

1. Linear Method I: The 8-Point Algorithm
2. Linear Method II: The 7-Point Algorithm
3. Iterative Minimization of the Reconstruction Error
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## Linear System of Equations

- every pair $\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ of corresponding points fullfills

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) F(x, y)^{T} & =0 \\
& \rightsquigarrow\left(\begin{array}{lllllllll}
x^{\prime} x & x^{\prime} y & x^{\prime} & y^{\prime} x & y^{\prime} y & y^{\prime} & x & y & 1
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{vect}(F)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- for $N$ such pairs $\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right), \ldots,\left(\left(x_{N}, y_{N}\right),\left(x_{N}^{\prime}, y_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
x_{1}^{\prime} x_{1} & x_{1}^{\prime} y_{1} & x_{1}^{\prime} & y_{1}^{\prime} x_{1} & y_{1}^{\prime} y_{1} & y_{1}^{\prime} & x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 \\
x_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} & x_{2}^{\prime} y_{2} & x_{2}^{\prime} & y_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} & y_{2}^{\prime} y_{2} & y_{2}^{\prime} & x_{2} & y_{2} & 1 \\
\vdots & & & & & & & & \\
x_{N}^{\prime} x_{N} & x_{N}^{\prime} y_{N} & x_{N}^{\prime} & y_{N}^{\prime} x_{N} & y_{N}^{\prime} y_{N} & y_{N}^{\prime} & x_{N} & y_{N} & 1
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{vect}(F)=0
$$

- linear system of equations: $A f=0$ for $f=\operatorname{vect}(F)$

Note: vect $(A):=\left(a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, \ldots, a_{1, M}, a_{2,1}, \ldots, a_{2}, M, \ldots, a_{N, 1}, \ldots, a_{N . M}\right)^{T}$ vectorization.

## 8-Point Algorithm

1. Solve linear system of equations for 8 corresponding points.
2. Ensure $\operatorname{det} F=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=U S U^{T}, \quad S=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{9}\right), s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{9} \text { SVD } \\
& F^{\prime}:=U S^{\prime} U^{T}, \quad S^{\prime}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{8}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## 7-Point Algorithm

1. Solve linear system of equations for 7 corresponding points, yielding $\lambda F_{1}+(1-\lambda) F_{2}$
2. Ensure $\operatorname{det} F=0$ :

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda F_{1}+(1-\lambda) F_{2}\right) \stackrel{!}{=} 0
$$

Find root $\lambda^{*}$ of this polynomial of degree 3 , then

$$
F:=\lambda^{*} F_{1}+\left(1-\lambda^{*}\right) F_{2}
$$

- all linear methods should be used with normalization!
- both, esp. 7-point algorithm often used in RANSAC wrappers.


## Iterative Minimization of the Reconstruction Error

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \sum_{n=1}^{N} d\left(x_{n}, \hat{x}_{n}\right)^{2}+d\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, \hat{x}_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

- $\hat{x}_{n}=P X_{n}=X_{n}$, for $P=[I \mid 0]$
- $\hat{x}_{n}^{\prime}=P^{\prime} X_{n}$, for general $P^{\prime}$
- $3 N+12$ parameters (for general $P^{\prime}$ )
- as in chapter 3 :
- initialize with linear method: 8-point algorithm
- initial estimate of $X_{n}$ by triangulation (see next section)
- iteratively minimize using Levenberg-Marquardt
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## Triangulation

Different methods:

1. Linear triangulation
2. Iterative Minimization of the Reconstruction Error
3. Minimizing Reconstruction Error via Root Finding

## Linear Triangulation

- Each 3D point $X$ satisfies:

$$
x \stackrel{!}{=} \hat{x}:=P X, \quad x^{\prime} \stackrel{!}{=} \hat{x}^{\prime}:=P^{\prime} X
$$

yielding

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{3} P_{1,1}^{T}-x^{\top} P_{3,1} \\
x_{3} P_{2,1}^{T}-x^{\top} P_{3,2} \\
x_{3} P_{3, .}^{T}-x^{T} P_{3,3}
\end{array}\right) x=0
$$

of which 2 rows are independent, and the same for $x^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime}$.
Solve $A X=0$ for

$$
A\left(x, P, x^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{3} P_{1,1}^{T}-x^{\top} P_{3,1} \\
x_{3} P_{2,-}^{T}-x^{\top} P_{3,2} \\
x_{3}^{\prime} P_{1, .}^{\prime}-x^{\prime} T P_{3,1}^{\prime} \\
x_{3}^{\prime} P_{2, .}^{\prime,}-x^{\prime} T P_{3,2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Linear Triangulation (2/2)

- Exact solutions to

$$
A X=0, \quad X \neq 0
$$

for a $4 \times 4$ matrix $A$ may not exist if noise is involved.

- Solve approximately via SVD:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=U S V^{T}, \quad S=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right), s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq s_{3} \geq s_{4}, \mathrm{SVD} \\
& X \approx V_{\cdot, 4}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Iterative Minimization of the Reconstruction Error

- solve $N$ separate problems, one for each point $X_{n}(n=1, \ldots, N)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{minimize} d\left(x_{n}, \hat{x}_{n}\right)^{2}+d\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, \hat{x}_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
& \text { with } \hat{x}_{n}:=P X_{n}=X_{n}, \quad n=1, \ldots, N, \quad \text { for } P:=[I \mid 0] \\
& \quad \hat{x}_{n}^{\prime}:=P^{\prime} X_{n}, \quad n=1, \ldots, N, \\
& \text { over } X_{n}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

- 3 parameters each ( $P^{\prime}$ is fixed)
- as in chapter 3:
- iteratively minimize using Levenberg-Marquardt
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## Monocular Visual SLAM

Calibrated camera $K$ with known start pose $Q^{(0)}$
Do forever (time $t$ ):

1. Get image $I^{(t)}$ from the camera
2. Find interesting points in $I^{(t)}$ and their descriptors
3. Match interesting points of two consecutive images $I^{(t-1)}, I^{(t)}$ based on their descriptors to get corresponding points
4. Minimize reconstruction loss for all corresponding points in the two images to get new camera pose $Q^{(t)}$ and 3D points $X^{(t)}$

- localization:
$Q^{(t)}$ describes the trajectory of the camera (and thus the vehicle)


## - mapping:

$X^{(t)}$ describes the scene
Many detail problems still to discuss. Many variants exist.

## Stereo Visual SLAM

Calibrated cameras $K, K^{\prime}$ with known start poses $Q^{(0)}, Q^{\prime(0)}$
Do forever (time $t$ ):

1. Get two images $I^{(t)}, I^{\prime(t)}$ from the two cameras
2. Find interesting points in both $I^{(t)}, I^{\prime(t)}$ and their descriptors
3. Match interesting points of all four images $I^{(t-1)}, I^{\prime(t-1)}, I^{(t)}, I^{\prime(t)}$ based on their descriptors to get corresponding points
4. Minimize reconstruction loss for all corresponding points in the four images to get new camera poses $Q^{(t)}, Q^{\prime(t)}$ and 3D points $X^{(t)}$

- localization:
$Q^{(t)}, Q^{\prime(t)}$ describes the trajectory of the cameras (and thus the vehicle)


## - mapping:

$X^{(t)}$ describes the scene
Many detail problems still to discuss. Many variants exist.
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## Example / Projective Reconstruction



Note: Additional knowledge: none.
a

[HZ04, p. 267]

## Example / Affine Reconstruction



Note: Additional knowledge: three sets of parallel lines.
[HZ04, p. 270]
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## Example / Metric Reconstruction


a

b
Note: Additional knowledge: additionally lines in different sets are orthogo[HZRO4, p. 274]

## Outlook

- methods applicable in two settings:
- two cameras, single shot: stereo vision
- one camera, sequence of shots: structure from motion, monocular visual SLAM
- structure from motion:
- do not compute everything from scratch for every frame
- tracking (computer vision terminology)
- online updates (machine learning terminology)
- methods to combine stereo vision and structure from motion
- two cameras, sequence of shots
- the very same methods, just for 4 views instead of 2.
- some new concepts (e.g., trifocal tensor for 3 views)
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## Summary (1/4)

- There exist several methods for simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM)
- We discussed: bundle adjustment: minimize a loss between
- in two views observed and
- from two unknown 2D-projections of unknown 3D points reconstructed corresponding points.
- Cameras are described by linear projective maps $P: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}(=$ $4 \times 3$ matrices) usually structured as $P=K[R \mid t]$ :
- camera calibration matrix $K$ (5 intrinsic parameters)
- camera pose $[R \mid t]$ (6 external parameters)
- finite vs infinte (esp. affine) cameras; pinhole camera


## Summary (2/4)

- The geometric relation between two 2D views on a 3D scene can be represented by the $3 \times 3$ fundamental matrix $F$ :
- maps points in 1st view to epipolar line of all possible corresponding points in 2nd view.
- $x^{\prime} F x=0$ for corresponding points $x, x^{\prime}$
- For two cameras $P, P^{\prime}$ their fundamental matrix can be computed as:

$$
F=\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times} P^{\prime} P^{+}, \quad \text { with epipole in } 2 \text { nd view } e^{\prime}
$$

- For a fundamental matrix $F$, several pairs of cameras are possible. Two canonical cameras $P, P^{\prime}$ can be computed as:

$$
P=[I \mid 0], \quad P^{\prime}=\left[\left[e^{\prime}\right]_{\times} F \mid e^{\prime}\right]
$$
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## Summary (3/4)

- To compute the fundamental matrix from point correspondences several methods exist.
- Problem has 7 degrees of freedom (8 ratios; singular)
- Linear methods
- 8-point algorithm: solve a linear system of equations / SVD
- 7-point algorithm: solve a linear system of equations / SVD
- enforce singularity
- Iterative minimization of the reconstruction error
- To estimate 3D point positions from their observed images under known 2D projection(s): triangulation. Several methods exist:
- Linear methods
- individually for each 3D point
- solve a $4 \times 4$ linear system of equations / SVD
- Iterative minimization of the reconstruction error
- Minimizing Reconstruction Error via Root Finding


## Summary (4/4)

- Metric reconstruction:
- With just multiple 2D views of a scene, it can only be reconstructed up to a projectivity.
- requires either background knowledge or
- camera calibration: estimate the intrinsic parameters of the camera calibration matrix from a known scene.


## Further Readings

- Reconstruction ambiguity: [HZ04, ch. 10].
- Computing the Fundamental Matrix: [HZO4, ch. 11].
- Triangulation: [HZO4, ch. 12].
- Camera models: [HZO4, ch. 6].
- The Fundamental Matrix: [HZ04, ch. 9].
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