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## Inequality Constrained Minimization (ICM) Problems

 Smooth:$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}{\arg \min } f(\mathbf{x}) & \\
\text { subject to } & g_{p}(\mathbf{x})=0, \quad p=1, \ldots, P \\
& h_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

- $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ twice differentiable
- $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{P}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ twice differentiable
- $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{Q}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ twice differentiable
- A feasible optimal $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ exists, $p^{*}:=f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)$


## Inequality Constrained Minimization (ICM) Problems

Smooth and convex:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{\underset{\in}{\operatorname{Ra}} \mathbb{R}^{N}}{\arg \min } & f(\mathbf{x}) \\
\text { subject to } & A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0 \\
& h_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

- $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex and twice differentiable
- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times N}, a \in \mathbb{R}^{P}: P$ affine equality constraints
- $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{Q}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex and twice differentiable
- A feasible optimal $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ exists, $p^{*}:=f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)$


## Inequality Constrained Minimization (ICM) Problems

Smooth, convex and with affine constraints:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}{\arg \min } & f(\mathbf{x}) \\
\text { subject to } & A \mathbf{x}-a=0 \\
& B \mathbf{x}-b \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

- $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex and twice differentiable
- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times N}, a \in \mathbb{R}^{P}: P$ affine equality constraints
- $B \in \mathbb{R}^{Q \times N}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{Q}: Q$ affine inequality constraints
- A feasible optimal $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ exists, $p^{*}:=f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)$


## Barrier and Penalty Methods

General idea:

- reduce the problem to a
- sequence of optimization problems
- with a more complex objective function,
- but with simpler constraints
- apply a suitable optimization method to each of the problems
- often Newton

Advantages:

1. Does not suffer from combinatorical complexity for many constraints (as primal methods / active set methods do)
2. Generally applicable, as they do not rely on special problem structure.
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## Idea

- search only in the interior of the feasible area $S$
- ensure that an optimization algorithm stays within the interior by adding a barrier function $B$ to the objective

$$
f(x)+c B(x)
$$

- the barrier $B$ grows unbounded when approaching the border of the feasible area.
- aka as interior point methods
- iteratively reduce the weight $c$ of the barrier.
- iterates $x^{(k)}$ converge to the optimum $x^{*}$, possibly on the border of the feasible area.
- only applicable if the interior of the feasible area is not empty
- esp. there are no equality constraints.

Idea
For $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
x=\underset{x \in S}{\arg \min } f(\mathbf{x})
$$



$$
x=\lim x^{(k)}, \quad c^{(k)} \rightarrow 0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(k)} & :=\underset{x \in S^{\circ}}{\arg \min } \tilde{f}_{c(k)}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\tilde{f}_{c}(x) & :=f(\mathbf{x})+c B(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

with a barrier function

$$
B: S^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

(i) $B$ continuous
(ii) $B(x) \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \rightarrow \partial\left(S^{\circ}\right)$

## Log Barrier Function

For an feasible area $S$ defined by inequality constraints $h: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{Q}$ :

$$
S:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid h(x) \leq 0\right\}
$$

log barrier function:

$$
B(x):=-\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \log \left(-h_{q}(x)\right)
$$

convex and twice differentiable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla B(x) & =-\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{1}{h_{q}(x)} \nabla h_{q}(x) \\
\nabla^{2} B(x) & =\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{1}{\left(h_{q}(x)\right)^{2}} \nabla h_{q}(x)\left(\nabla h_{q}(x)\right)^{T}-\frac{1}{h_{q}(x)} \nabla^{2} h_{q}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inverse Barrier Function

For an feasible area $S$ defined by inequality constraints $h: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{Q}$ :

$$
S:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid h(x) \leq 0\right\}
$$

inverse barrier function:

$$
B(x):=-\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{1}{h_{q}(x)}
$$

convex and twice differentiable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla B(x) & =\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{1}{\left(h_{q}(x)\right)^{2}} \nabla h_{q}(x) \\
\nabla^{2} B(x) & =\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{-2}{\left(h_{q}(x)\right)^{3}} \nabla h_{q}(x)\left(\nabla h_{q}(x)\right)^{T}+\frac{1}{\left(h_{q}(x)\right)^{2}} \nabla^{2} h_{q}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Barrier Methods / Generic Algorithm

```
1 min-barrier \(\left(f, B, x^{(0)}, c, \epsilon, K\right)\) :
2 for \(k:=1, \ldots, K\) :
\(3 \quad x^{(k)}:=\min \left(f+c^{(k)} B, x^{(k-1)}\right)\)
4 if \(\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\|<\epsilon\) :
5 return \(x^{(k)}\)
6 return "not converged"
```

where

- $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ objective function
- $B: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ barrier function (encoding inequality constraints)
- $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ strictly feasible starting point, i.e., $B\left(x^{(0)}\right)<\infty$
- $c \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{*}$ : barrier weights, $c^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$
- min: unconstrained minimization method


## Barrier Methods / Log Barrier Algorithm

```
1 min-barrier- \(\log \left(f, h, x^{(0)}, c, \epsilon, K\right)\) :
2 for \(k:=1, \ldots, K\) :
\(3 \quad x^{(k)}:=\min \left(f-c^{(k)} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \log \left(-h_{q}\right), x^{(k-1)}\right)\)
4 if \(\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\|<\epsilon\) :
    return \(x^{(k)}\)
    return "not converged"
```

where

- $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ objective function
- $h: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{Q}$ inequality constraints
- $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ strictly feasible starting point, i.e., $h\left(x^{(0)}\right)<0$
- $c \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{*}$ : barrier weights, $c^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$
- min: unconstrained minimization method


## Remarks

- The inner minimization step is called centering step.
- It is usually accomplished using Newton's method.
- For a better stopping criterion see section 4.


## Equality Constraints

- equality constraints can be passed through to the inner problem:

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
x=\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}{\arg \min } f(x) & \Longleftrightarrow & x=\lim x^{(k)}, \quad c^{(k)} \rightarrow 0 \\
\text { s.t. } g(x)=0 & x^{(k)}:=\underset{x \in S^{\circ}}{\arg \min } \tilde{f}_{c}(k)(x) \\
h(x) \leq 0 & \text { s.t. } g(x)=0 \\
& \tilde{f}_{c}(x):=f(x)+c B(x) \\
& S^{\circ} & :=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid h(x)<0\right\}
\end{array}
$$

with $B$ a barrier function for inequality constraints $h$.

- the inner minimization method then has to be able to cope with equality constraints.
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## Idea

- search unconstrained in all of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
- penalize infeasible points by adding a penalty function $P$ to the objective
- the penalty $P$ is zero for feasible points, non-zero for infeasible points.
- iteratively increase the weight $c$ of the penalty.
- iterates $x^{(k)}$ converge to the optimum $x^{*}$, possibly on the border of the feasible area.
- applicable to both, equality and inequality constraints, but usually there are no inequality constraints.


## Idea

For $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=\underset{x \in S}{\arg \min } f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \Longleftrightarrow & =\underset{x}{\lim x^{(k)}, \quad c^{(k)} \rightarrow \infty} \\
x^{(k)} & :=\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}{\arg \min } \tilde{f}_{c}(k)(\mathbf{x}) \\
\tilde{f}_{c}(x) & :=f(\mathbf{x})+c P(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

with a penalty function

$$
P: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

(i) $P$ continuous
(ii) $P(x) \geq 0$
(iii) $P(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x \in S$

## Quadratic Penalty Function

For an feasible area $S$ defined by equality constraints $g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{P}$ :

$$
S:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid g(x)=0\right\}
$$

## quadratic penalty function:

$$
P(x):=\sum_{p=1}^{P}\left(g_{p}(x)\right)^{2}
$$

convex and twice differentiable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla P(x) & =2 \sum_{p=1}^{P} g_{p}(x) \nabla g_{p}(x) \\
\nabla^{2} P(x) & =2 \sum_{p=1}^{P} \nabla g_{p}(x)\left(\nabla g_{p}(x)\right)^{T}+g_{p}(x) \nabla^{2} g_{p}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Penalty Methods / Generic Algorithm

```
1 min-penalty \(\left(f, P, x^{(0)}, c, \epsilon, K\right)\) :
2 for \(k:=1, \ldots, K\) :
\(3 \quad x^{(k)}:=\min \left(f+c^{(k)} P, x^{(k-1)}\right)\)
4 if \(\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\|<\epsilon\) :
5 return \(x^{(k)}\)
6 return "not converged"
```

where

- $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ objective function
- $P: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ penalty function (encoding equality constraints)
- $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ starting point (possibly infeasible)
- $c \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{*}$ : penalty weights, $c^{(k)} \rightarrow \infty$
- min: unconstrained minimization method


## Penalty Methods / Quadratic Penalty Algorithm

```
\({ }_{1}\) min-penalty-quad \(\left(f, g, x^{(0)}, c, \epsilon, K\right)\) :
2 for \(k:=1, \ldots, K\) :
\(3 \quad x^{(k)}:=\min \left(f+c^{(k)} \sum_{p=1}^{P}\left(g_{p}(x)\right)^{2}, x^{(k-1)}\right)\)
4 if \(\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\|<\epsilon\) :
5 return \(x^{(k)}\)
6 return "not converged"
```

where

- $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ objective function
- $g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{P}$ equality constraints
- $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ starting point (possibly infeasible)
- $c \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{*}$ : penalty weights, $c^{(k)} \rightarrow \infty$
- min: unconstrained minimization method


## Inequality Constraints

- inequality constraints $h(x) \leq 0$ can be represented as (additional) equality constraints:

$$
h(x) \leq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad h_{q}^{+}(x):=\max \left\{0, h_{q}(x)\right\}=0, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q
$$

- the quadratic penalty function for $h^{+}$is differentiable with a continuous gradient:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x) & :=\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\left(h_{q}^{+}(x)\right)^{2} \\
\nabla P(x) & =\sum_{q=1}^{Q} 2 h_{q}^{+}(x)\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla h_{q}(x), & \text { if } h_{q}(x) \geq 0 \\
0, & \text { else }
\end{array}=2 h_{q}^{+}(x) \nabla h_{q}(x)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

- but the gradient is not differentiable at the border $h_{q}(x)=0$.
- thus second order methods like Newton will not work out of the box as inner optimizers.
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## Sequential Subproblems

## Analysis for

- general inequality constraints $h(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$
- affine equality constraints $A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0$
minimize $f(\mathbf{x})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { s.t. } h_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q \\
& \\
& A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0
\end{align*}
$$

$\operatorname{minimize} f(\mathbf{x})+c B(\mathbf{x}), \quad c \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { s.t. } A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0 \tag{v2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v3) minimize $t f(\mathbf{x})+B(\mathbf{x}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\text { s.t. } A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0
$$

## Central Path

## Given our ICM problem

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{minimize} & t f(\mathbf{x})+B(\mathbf{x}) \\
\text { subject to } & A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0
\end{array}
$$

let $\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)$ be its the solution for a given $t>0$ (called central point).
The set

$$
\left\{\mathbf{x}^{*}(t) \mid t>0\right\}
$$

of all central points is called central path.

## Central Path - Example

Central path for a linear program

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} \times & \mathbf{c}^{T} \mathbf{x} \\
\text { subject to } & \mathbf{b}_{q}^{T} \mathbf{x} \leq a_{q} \\
& q=1, \ldots, 6
\end{array}
$$

$\mathbf{c}^{T} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{*}(t)$ is tangent to the level curve of $B$ through $\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)$

(From Stephen Boyd's Lecture Notes)

## Dual Points for Central Points $1 / 2$

As solution of the ICM problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{minimize} & t f(\mathbf{x})+B(\mathbf{x})  \tag{1}\\
\text { subject to } & A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0
\end{align*}
$$

a central point $\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)$
i) is strictly feasible

$$
A \mathbf{x}^{*}(t)=\mathbf{a}, \quad h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)<0, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q
$$

ii) fulfills the stationarity condition for (1): $\exists \nu \in \mathbb{R}^{P}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=t \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\nabla B\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+A^{T} \nu \\
& \quad=\underset{\log \text { barrier } B}{=} t \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{1}{-h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)} \nabla h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+A^{T} \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dual Points for Central Points $2 / 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.t \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{1}{-h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)} \nabla h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+A^{T} \nu=0 \quad \right\rvert\,: t \\
& \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \underbrace{\frac{1}{-t h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)}}_{=: \lambda_{q}^{*}(t)} \nabla h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+A^{T} \underbrace{\frac{1}{t} \nu}_{=: \nu^{*}(t)}=0 \\
& \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \lambda_{q}^{*}(t) \nabla h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+A^{T} \nu^{*}(t)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

is the stationarity condition for the Lagrangian of the original problem:

$$
L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \nu)=f(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \lambda_{q} h_{q}(\mathbf{x})+\nu^{T}(A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a})
$$

- $\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)$ minimizes the Lagrangian for $\lambda=\lambda^{*}(t)$ and $\nu=\nu^{*}(t)$
- Thus $\lambda^{*}(t), \nu^{*}(t)$ is a dual feasible pair.


## Convergence

With dual function $g$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{*} \underset{\text { dual function }}{\geq} g\left(\lambda^{*}(t), \nu^{*}(t)\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \lambda_{q}^{*}(t) h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\nu^{*}(t)^{T}\left(A \mathbf{x}^{*}(t)-a\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{Q}-\frac{1}{t h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)} h_{q}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)+\nu^{*}(t)^{T} \underbrace{\left(A \mathbf{x}^{*}(t)-a\right)}_{=0} \\
& =f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)-\frac{Q}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)\right)-p^{*} \leq Q / t
$$

i.e., central points $\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)$ converge to a minimum of the original problem as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

## Centrality Conditions and the KKT Conditions

Central points $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)$ fulfill the following conditions: there exist $\lambda, \nu$ with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{a}, \quad h_{q}(\mathbf{x}) & \leq 0, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q \\
\lambda & \geq 0 \\
\nabla f(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \lambda_{q} \nabla h_{q}(\mathbf{x})+A^{T} \nu & =0 \\
-\lambda_{q} h_{q}(\mathbf{x}) & =\frac{1}{t}, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus, central points $\mathbf{x}^{*}(t)$ almost fulfill the KKT conditions.
- complementary condition $-\lambda_{q} h_{q}(\mathbf{x})=0$ only holds approximately $(=1 / t)$


## Stopping Criterion

- as stopping criterion, simply
or equivalently
can be used.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{Q}{t} \leq \epsilon, \quad t \rightarrow \infty \\
& Q c \leq \epsilon, \quad c \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Why solving sequential problems? Why not just solve a single problem with a sufficiently small c? E.g.,

$$
c:=\frac{\epsilon}{Q}
$$

- It does not work well for large scale problems.
- It does not work well for small accuracy $\epsilon$.
- It needs a "good" starting point.
- Trade-off about the schedule of $c$ :
- the smaller $c$, the fewer centering steps, but the more Newton steps / centering step
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## Convergence Analysis

Assume that $t f+B$ can be minimized by Newton's method for $t=t^{(0)}, \mu t^{(0)}, \mu^{2} t^{(0)}, \ldots$, the $t$ in the $k$-th outer step is

$$
t^{(k)}=\mu^{k} t^{(0)}
$$

From this, it follows that, in the $k$-th outer step, the duality gap is

$$
\frac{Q}{\mu^{k} t^{(0)}}
$$

## Convergence Analysis

Then the number of outer iterations $k^{*}$ needed to achieve accuracy $\epsilon$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon & =\frac{Q}{\mu^{k^{*}} t^{(0)}} \\
\mu^{k^{*}} & =\frac{Q}{\epsilon t^{(0)}} \\
\log \left(\mu^{k^{*}}\right) & =\log \left(\frac{Q}{\epsilon t^{(0)}}\right) \\
k^{*} \log (\mu) & =\log \left(\frac{Q}{\epsilon t^{(0)}}\right) \\
k^{*} & =\frac{\log \left(\frac{Q}{\epsilon t^{(0)}}\right)}{\log (\mu)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Convergence Analysis

The number of outer iterations is:

$$
\left\lceil\frac{\log \left(\frac{Q}{\epsilon t^{(0)}}\right)}{\log \mu}\right\rceil
$$

plus the initial step to compute $\mathbf{x}^{*}\left(t^{(0)}\right)$

The inner problem
minimize $\quad t f(\mathbf{x})+B(\mathbf{x})$
is solved by Newton's method (for its convergence analysis, see section 2.3)

## Examples

Inequality form Linear Program ( $m=100$ inequalities, $n=50$ variables)


(From Stephen Boyd's Lecture Notes)

- starts with $\mathbf{x}$ on central path $\left(t^{(0)}=1\right.$, duality gap 100$)$
- terminates when $t=10^{8}$ (gap $10^{-6}$ )
- centering uses Newton's method with backtracking
- total number of Newton iterations not very sensitive for $\mu \geq 10$


## Examples

Family of Linear Programs $\left(A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 2 m}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & c^{T} x \\
\text { subject to } & A^{T} x \leq a, \quad x \succeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$m=10, \ldots, 1000$; for each $m$ solve 100 randomly generated instances
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## Feasibility and Phase I Method

- The barrier method requires a strictly feasible starting point $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$.
- Phase I denotes the computation of such a point $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ (or the constraints are found to be infeasible).
- The barrier method algorithm then starts from $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ (called phase II stage).


## Basic Phase I Method

Find strictly feasible $\mathbf{x}$ for constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{q}(\mathbf{x})<0, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q, \quad A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Problem for strictly feasible starting value (phase I):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & s \\
\text { subject to } & h_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \leq s, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q \\
& A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0 \\
\text { over } & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, s \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

- for (2), a strictly feasible starting point is easy to compute:
- compute $x^{(0)}$ with $A x^{(0)}-a=0$
- $s^{(0)}:=\max _{q=1, \ldots, Q} h_{q}\left(x^{(0)}\right)+\epsilon, \quad \epsilon>0$
- if $\mathbf{x}, s$ is feasible, with $s<0$, then $\mathbf{x}$ is strictly feasible for (1)
- if $s^{*}>0$, then problem (1) is infeasible
- if $s^{*}=0$ and attained, then problem (1) is feasible (but not strictly)
- if $s^{*}=0$ and not attained, then problem (1) is infeasible


## Sum of Infeasibilities Phase I Method

Problem for feasible starting value (phase I):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & 1^{T} \mathbf{s} \\
\text { subject to } & \mathbf{s} \geq 0 \\
& h_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \leq s_{q}, \quad q=1, \ldots, Q \\
& A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}=0 \\
\text { over } & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, s \in \mathbb{R}^{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

strictly feasible starting point for ( $2^{\prime}$ ):

- compute $x^{(0)}$ with $A x^{(0)}-a=0$
- $s_{q}^{(0)}:=\max \left\{0, h_{q}\left(x^{(0)}\right)\right\}+\epsilon, \quad \epsilon>0, q=1, \ldots, Q$


## Summary

- Barrier and penalty methods cast a constrained minimization problem into a series of unconstrained problems:
- Barrier methods by adding to the objective a barrier function $B$ that approaches infinity at the border of the feasible area.
- e.g., the log barrier or the inverse barrier functions.
- reduce Barrier weight to zero over iterations.
- Penalty methods by adding to the objective a weighted penalty function $P$ that is zero on the feasible set and positive outside.
- e.g., the quadratic penalty function.
- increase penalty weight to infinity over iterations.
- For barrier methods, equality constraints are passed through to the inner problems.
- For penalty methods, inequality constraints are cast into equality constraints (positive part)
- once continuous differentiable, but not twice


## Summary (2/2)

- The solutions of the Barrier problem for varying Barrier weights form a continuous path (central path).
- The solution of the Barrier problem with weight $c$ and $Q$ constraints has suboptimality for the original problem of at most $Q \cdot c$.
- esp. the Barrier method will converge for $c \rightarrow 0$.
- $Q \cdot c$ can be used as stopping criterion.
- To compute a strictly feasible starting point for the Barrier method, a problem with similar structure, but trivial feasible starting point, can be constructed and solved (phase I methods).


## Further Readings

- Barrier methods:
- [Boyd and Vandenberghe, 2004, ch. 11]
- [Griva et al., 2009, ch. 16]
- [Luenberger and Ye, 2008, ch. 13]
- [Nocedal and Wright, 2006, ch. 19.6]
- Penalty methods:
- [Griva et al., 2009, ch. 16]
- [Luenberger and Ye, 2008, ch. 13]
- [Nocedal and Wright, 2006, ch. 17.1-2]
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